

PROTIVED

CARL J. KUNASEK	DRPORATION COMMISSION  Afizona Corporation Commission  DOCKETED  MENT CUNTRUL  OCT 2 0 2000  DOCKETED BY  DOCKETED BY  NOTICE OF FILING
CARL J. KUNASEK CHAIRMAN  JIM IRVIN COMMISSIONER  WILLIAM A. MUNDELL COMMISSIONER  IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF U S WEST COMMUNICATION, INC., A COLORADO CORPORATION, FOR A HEARING TO DETERMINE THE EARNINGS OF THE COMPANY FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES, TO FIX A JUST AND REASONABLE RATE OF RETURN THEREON AND TO APPROVE RATE SCHEDULES DESIGNED TO DEVELOP	DOCKETED  OCT 2 0 2000  DOCKETED BY  Docket No. T-01051B-99-0105
CHAIRMAN JIM IRVIN COMMISSIONER WILLIAM A. MUNDELL COMMISSIONER  IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF U S WEST COMMUNICATION, INC., A COLORADO CORPORATION, FOR A HEARING TO DETERMINE THE EARNINGS OF THE COMPANY FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES, TO FIX A JUST AND REASONABLE RATE OF RETURN THEREON AND TO APPROVE RATE SCHEDULES DESIGNED TO DEVELOP	Docket No. T-01051B-99-0105
COMMISSIONER WILLIAM A. MUNDELL COMMISSIONER  IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF U S WEST COMMUNICATION, INC., A COLORADO CORPORATION, FOR A HEARING TO DETERMINE THE EARNINGS OF THE COMPANY FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES, TO FIX A JUST AND REASONABLE RATE OF RETURN THEREON AND TO APPROVE RATE SCHEDULES DESIGNED TO DEVELOP	DOCKETED BY DOCKET No. T-01051B-99-0105
IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF U S WEST COMMUNICATION, INC., A COLORADO CORPORATION, FOR A HEARING TO DETERMINE THE EARNINGS OF THE COMPANY FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES, TO FIX A JUST AND REASONABLE RATE OF RETURN THEREON AND TO APPROVE RATE SCHEDULES DESIGNED TO DEVELOP	Docket No. T-01051B-99-0105
OF U S WEST COMMUNICATION, INC., A COLORADO CORPORATION, FOR A HEARING TO DETERMINE THE EARNINGS OF THE COMPANY FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES, TO FIX A JUST AND REASONABLE RATE OF RETURN THEREON AND TO APPROVE RATE SCHEDULES DESIGNED TO DEVELOP	
OF U S WEST COMMUNICATION, INC., A COLORADO CORPORATION, FOR A HEARING TO DETERMINE THE EARNINGS OF THE COMPANY FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES, TO FIX A JUST AND REASONABLE RATE OF RETURN THEREON AND TO APPROVE RATE SCHEDULES DESIGNED TO DEVELOP	
HEARING TO DETERMINE THE EARNINGS OF THE COMPANY FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES, TO FIX A JUST AND REASONABLE RATE OF RETURN THEREON AND TO APPROVE RATE SCHEDULES DESIGNED TO DEVELOP	NOTICE OF FILING
AND REASONABLE RATE OF RETURN THEREON AND TO APPROVE RATE SCHEDULES DESIGNED TO DEVELOP	NOTICE OF FILING
SCHEDULES DESIGNED TO DEVELOP	NOTICE OF FILING
SUCH RETURN	
Cox Arizona Telcom, L.L.C. ("Cox"	) hereby files its Initial Comments regarding
Settlement Agreement Principles which had p	previously been communicated to Commission
Staff and certain parties by email on October	17, 2000 (a copy of which is attached).
October 20, 2000.	
	COX ARIZONA TELCOM, L.L.C.
	21004
	By Michael W. Patter
	Michael W. Patten BROWN & BAIN, P.A. 2001 North Control Avenue
	2901 North Central Avenue Post Office Box 400 Phoenix Arizona 85001 0400
	Phoenix, Arizona 85001-0400
	602/351-8000
•••	602/351-8000
	Settlement Agreement Principles which had staff and certain parties by email on October

1	ORIGINAL and 10 COPIES filed October 20, 2000, with:
2	
3	Docket Control ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
4	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
5	COPIES hand-delivered/mailed October 20, 2000, to:
6	
7	Jerry L. Rudibaugh Chief Hearing Officer, Hearing Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
8	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
9	Lyn A. Farmer
10	Chief Officer, Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
11	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
12	Deborah R. Scott
13	Director, Utilities Division
14	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
15	
16	Richard S. Wolters AT&T 1857 Lawrence Street, Suite 1575
17	Denver, Colorado 80202
18	Patricia L. vanMidde AT&T
19	111 West Monroe, Suite 1201 Phoenix, Arizona 85003
20	Joan Burke
21	OSBORN MALEDON 2929 North Central Avenue, Suite 2100
22	Post Office Box 36379 Phoenix, Arizona 85067-6379
23	Albert Sterman
24	ARIZONA COMSUMERS COUNCIL 2849 Eat 8th Street
25	Tucson, Arizona 85716
26	

1	Martin A. Aronson William D. Classeland
2	William D. Cleaveland MORRILL & ARONSON PLC
_	One Camelback Road, Suite 340
3	Phoenix, Arizona 85012
4	Raymond S. Heyman
7	Randall H. Warner, Esq.
5	ROSHKA HEYMAN & DEWULF PLC
	Two Arizona Center
6	400 North 5th Street, Suite 1000
_	Phoenix, Arizona 85004
7	Craig Morles
8	Craig Marks CITIZENS UTILITIES COMPANY
0	2901 North Central Avenue, Suite 1660
9	Phoenix, Arizona 85012
10	Diane Bacon
	COMMUNICATIONS WORKERS OF AMERICA
1	5818 North 7th Street, Suite 206
2	Phoenix, Arizona 85014-5811
2	Peter Q. Nyce, Jr.
3	General Attorney, Regulatory Law Office
	U.S. Army Legal Services Agency
ا 14	DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
	901 North Stuart Street, Suite 700
15	Arlington, Virginia 22203-1837
16	   Michael Grant
10	GALLAGHER & KENNEDY
17	2575 East Camelback Road
,	Phoenix, Arizona 85016-9225
18	,
	Thomas F. Dixon
19	MCI WORLDCOM
,,	707 17 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 3900 Denver, Colorado 80202
20	Deliver, Colorado 80202
21	Thomas H. Campbell
	LEWIS & ROCA
22	40 North Central Avenue
	Phoenix, Arizona 85004
23	Alaina Millon
24	Alaine Miller NEXTLINK COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
۷4	500 108th Avenue, N.E., Suite 2200
25	Bellevue, Washington 98004
	1

1	Douglas Hslao
2	RHYTHMS LINKS INC.
2	6933 Revere Parkway Englewood, Colorado 80112
3	Englewood, Colorado 50112
	Jim Scheltema
4	BLUMENFELD & COHEN
_	1625 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W., Suite 300
5	Washington, D.C. 20036
6	Scott S. Wakefield
0	RESIDENTIAL UTILITY CONSUMER OFFICE
7	2828 North Central Avenue, Suite 1200
	Phoenix, Arizona 85004-1022
8	Dames C. W. in and
9	Darren S. Weingard Natalie D. Wales
9	SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P.
10	1850 Gateway Drive, 7th Floor
	San Matoe, California 94404-2467
11	
10	Jeffrey W. Crockett
12	SNELL & WILMER One Arizona Center
13	Phoenix, Arizona 85004-0001
13	
14	Thomas M. Dethlefs
	QWEST CORPORATION
15	1801 California Street, Suite 5100
16	Denver, Colorado 80202
10	Timothy Berg
17	Theresa Dwyer
	FENNEMORE CRAIG
18	3003 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600
10	Phoenix, Arizona 85012
19	Steven J. Duffy
20	RIDGE & ISAACSON PC
20	3101 North Central Avenue, Suite 432
21	Phoenix, Arizona 85012
	TE 0 D V M.C'II'
22	J.E. & B.V. McGillivray 300 South McCormick
23	Prescott, Arizona 86303
د ے	1100000, 111201111 00000
24	Chuck Turner, Mayor
	Town of GILA BEND
25	644 West Pima Street
26	Post Office Box A Gila Bend, Arizona 85337-0019

1	Richard Lee
	SNAVELY KING & MAJOROS
2	O'CONNOR & LEE, INC.
2	1220 L Street, N.W., Suite 410
3	Washington, D.C. 20005
4	The Honorable Carl J. Kunasek
4	Chairman
5	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
7	1200 West Washington Street
6	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
٠	
7	The Honorable Jim Irvin
	Commissioner
8	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
	1200 West Washington Street
9	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
10	The Honorable William A. Mundell
	Commissioner
11	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
12	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
12	Filoenix, Arizona 85007
13	Mr. Jerry Porter
13	Aide to Commissioner Kunasek
14	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
	1200 West Washington Street
15	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
16	Mr. Hercules Dellas
_	Aide to Commissioner Mundell
17	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
10	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
18	Phoenix, Arizona 65007
19	Mr. Patrick Black
10	Aide to Commissioner Irvin
20	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
_	1200 West Washington Street
21	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
22	
_	18/2: ( / ////
23	reme y former
24	111684_1
24	<b>/</b>

## COX ARIZONA TELCOM, L.L.C.'S COMMENTS RE US WEST RATE CASE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT PRINCIPLES

## T-01051B-99-0105

These initial comments address the October 6, 2000 draft of the Principles of Settlement and related Attachment A. These comments are not intended to be all inclusive given the limited time for review and Cox may have additional or more specific comments once it has received and reviewed the Settlement Agreement.

- 1. Generally, the Price Cap Plan appears to ignore the existence of local competition and the related benefits to consumers. Qwest still retains an enormous share of both the business and residential market and still possesses monopoly power. Yet, there is an undercurrent of allowing Qwest more tools to compete if it is losing that 90%-95+% market share. That is premature.
- 2. Re Qwest's Application for Extension, the application should be provided to parties in this docket and should address impact on competition.
- 3. Re Attachment A, Section 2(c), the TSLRIC price floor is inappropriate. TSLRIC may be below actual cost due extensive shared family costs, common costs and marketing costs that may not be properly allocated to specific services. The price floor must include a proper assignment of shared, common and marketing costs similar to TELRIC.
- 4. Re Attachment A, Section 2(c)(ii), (iii), this allows for cross-subsidization, particularly given that certain services prices can be increased each year (more than 75% of initial cap over three years).
- 5. Re Attachment A, Section 2(c)(i), Commission needs to confirm that price cap is at or above cost (as defined by a proper price floor).

- 6. Once a proper measure for price floors is set, Qwest should be required to submit cost studies for review by the Commission and interested parties. No pricing flexibility should be allowed until those studies are approved.
- 7. Re Attachment A, Section 2(c)(vi), notice of price increases should be provided to CLECs given short-time frames for approval.
- 8. Any proposed price reduction (particularly for Bucket 1 services or packages involving Bucket 1 services) should be submitted to Commission for approval (and interested parties for review) to ensure the new price is not below the appropriate price floor.
- 9. Re Attachment A, Section 4, Cox has similar concerns about a TSLRIC price floor for Bucket 3 services and (especially) packages of services.
- 10. Re Attachment A, Section 4(d), need more clarification on what are proper "new services" or "new packages," particularly if it is a "new" package of Bucket 1 services with a Bucket 3 service. Again, CLECs should be provided notice of new packages and prices.
- 11. Re Attachment A, Section 4(g), the "geographic location" and "purchasing patterns" provisions are vague and ambiguous and rife with potential abuse. They should be eliminated or greatly elaborated upon. For example, the geographic location provision may allow specific pricing to a new apartment complex once a CLEC is able to serve the complex.
- 12. Re Attachment A, Section 5(c), what about the potential need to increase rates or price floors to protect against predatory pricing?